PRELIMINARY HAZARD REPORT

August 24, 1994

Page 2 of 11

HAZARD REPORT NUMBER: APFR-01 DATE: August 24, 1994 REV. LETTER: REV. DATE: Title: Impact/Collision/Detached EVA Crewmember SEVERITY: Catastrophic LIXELIHOOD OF OCCURENCE: Remote 2. CLASSIFICATION: Controlled CAUSE: REDUNDANCY SCREENS: Inadequate structural design for worst-case loads A-Pass causes structural failure and/or release of APFRIB-N/A hardware. C-Pass FMEA: #DTO671-64-5-1, Crit 1R/2 Name/Oty: Load Limiter Component/1 Fallure Detection: Function: Simulate weight, center of gravity, and flight Flight: Visual and EVA Operations dimensions of the ISSA APFR. It contains a pitch joint Ground: None that is attached to a load limiter. The APFR body has receptacies to accommodate the attachment of a STS Corrective. Action: PFR and an additional mass body. Crew must remain tethered during evaluation of APFR Fallure Mode: Load limiter separates from APFR simulator DTO assembly. THE SETTLEMENT. Causes: 1) Nut at spring retainer comes toose. 2) Vibration. 3) Piece part fallure. EFFECT (End hom, mission, crew/vahicle): REMAINING PATHS: Force transmitted into APFR due to unloading of spring. [1] Locate on nut. Force will act to move APFR in uncontrolled direction. Time to Effect: Mirates Time to Correct: Seconds FMEA: #0T0671-64-5-7, Crt. 1R/3 Name/Oty: Mass Simulator Component/1 Faiture Detection: Function: Simulate weight, center of gravity, and flight Flight: Visual dimensions of the ISSA APFR. It contains a pitch joint that is attached to a load limiter. The APFR body has Ground: None receptacies to accommodate the attachment of a STS/Corrective Action: PFR and an additional mass body. Crew must verify that pitch joint lock is in locked position Fallure Mode: Pitch joint lock inadvenerally releases. and that the slide lock has been engaged prior to PFR Cause: Vibration moves tatch to open position. ingress. EFFECT (End item, mission, crew/vehicle): HEMAINING PATHS: Pitch joint will treely rotate. None. Possible damage of 1) Side Lock. an EMU and/or Orbiter critical heroware from loose 2) Salety Tether. equipment/crewmember. Time to Effect: Minutes Time to Correct; Seconds CONTROL/RETENTION RATIONALE (see retention rational information table): APFR components were designed to a minimum factor of safety of 1.4 for ultimate loads if the hardware was proof load tested. A minimum factor of safety of 2.0 was used for hardware that was not proof load tested, with JSC Structura/Mechanical Working Group approval. 2. The APFR mechanisms responsible for latching was operated under thermal conditions during MTV and

chamber thermal runs.

PRELIMINARY HAZARD REPORT

August 24, 1994

Page 3 of 11

ZARD REPORT NUMBER: APFR-01	(DATE: August 24, 1994
EV LETTER:	REV. DATE:

Title: Impact/Collision/Detached EVA Crewmember

VERIFICATION:

- 1a. APFR Stress Analysis (LESC-31296) was performed and found that all margins were positive except at the pitch joint.
- 1b. Load lest was performed on the pitch joint per DW94200860 with positive results.
- The mass simulator was tested during the MTV per TPS 589420154 and thermal test 579420036 with all Z. components working properly.
- The APFH assembly was successfully tested to AVT levels per FV942081 and the pre-test functional 3. indicated that the unit was operating property per LEVAH9420088.

Page 7 of 10

PRELIMINARY HAZARD REPORT

August 24, 1994

HAZARO REPORT NUMBER: APER-01	IDATE: August 24, 1994
REV. LETTER:	REV. DATE:

Title: Impact/Collision/Detached EVA Crewmember.

RETENTION RATIONAL INFORMATION

DESIGN FEATURES TO MINIMIZE THE CHANCE OF THE FAILURE MODE OCCURRENCE

A. Structural Margins: The APFR is designed to take all limit load conditions as identified in section 3.5.3,

"Load Requirements" of JSC-38039 (DTO 571 HRD). A factor of satisty of 2.0 was

implemented during analysis and 1.4 during testing.

B. Thermal Tolerances:

The APFR is designed to operate in the thermal environment (-100°F to +250°F) as specified in section 5.3.1, "Temperature" of JSC-38039. All moving parts were analyzed during the design process to determine the clearance and pap values.

C. Material Selection: All of the APFR assembly materials that are considered safety critical are listed in

Table 5-2 of JSC-38040 (DTO 671 FMEA). All materials abide by SE-R-0006C and

are approved per MATL-94-117.

II. TESTING AND ANALYSIS

A. Testing:

1. Acceptance: The APPR Assembly underwart a PDA as documented in TPS# LEVAH9420000

The APPR hardware was operated in the thermal extremes during MTV (58940154).

and Critic T testing per (included previous funct.) \$79420036 and 50.

PIA will be done prior to flight.

The APFR hardware was exposed to AVT environments per (includes pre/post

funct.): FV9420081.

2. Certification: The thermal team listed above are used for condication as well.

Lead test was done on the APFR hardware par: \$7842052 (LLC in Ombr E and

DW942006601

Drily one flight unit was built and it was exposed to AVT loads verses an QVT.

Pre-Post test functional were done on the hardware during certification testing.

B. Analysis: Street analysis LESC-31296 was performed on the APFR.

Thermal analysis (LESC CTSD-1807) was done on the APFR hardware and it did

not exceed the certification limits.

III. INSPECTION

Manufacturing: The APFR hardware components were inspected for conformance to their

applicable drawings at LESC prior to assembly.

The APFR does not contain any tracture critical parts.

Assembly: The assembly was inspected to the assembly level drawings during PDAs.

The assembles were cleaned to level VC after assembly and will be pror to flight.

C. Testinat Pre-Post testing was conducted prior to and after all acceptance and periffication

testing. The hardware was verified to be working properly before the test began

and after the test.

IV. FAILURE HISTORY

A. Ground Testing: DRs were collected during the testing phase of the project but no FIARs were

initiated. All DRs shall be closed prior to certification.

On-Orbit Use: None Page 6 of 10

PRELIMINARY HAZARD REPORT

August 24, 1994

HAZARD REPORT NUMBER: APFR-01	DATE: August 24, 1994
REV. LETTER:	REV. DATE:

Title: Impact/Collision/Detached EVA Crawmember.

Y. OPERATIONAL USE

A. Effects of Failure: Possible structural overload of the APFR that could transmit in a high load to the

MPESS structure.

Grew Action: The crew has been made every of the potential loading conditions with the APFR.

C. Training: WETF runs have been conducted where the crow actions were released.

D. Mission Constraints: None

E. In-Flight Chack-Outs: Operation of all tooks and mechanisms prior to use in the Psyload Bay.

Page 10 of 10

PRELIMINARY HAZARD REPORT

August 24, 1994

HAZARD REPORT NUMBER: APFR-01	DATE: August 24, 1994	
REV. LETTER:	IREV, DATE;	
Title: Impact/Collision/Detached EVA Cri	ewmentiber	
CONCURRENCE:		DATE:
DESIGN ENGINEER(S):	728-1	
	JK Brody	<u>\$\25\94</u>
PROJECT ENGINEER(S):		-1 / - /-
	Jan Tile	8/22/94
SAFETY ENGINEER(SYNS2:	Ronald W. Cook	8/24/94
SAFETY MANAGER(S)/NS2:	N/A	
	<u> </u>	
·	···	<u> </u>

Courtesy Copies:

Mission Operations Directorate/DF42 Astronaut Office/CB